Minutes

At an Extraordinary General Meeting of the Full Council, held virtually via Microsoft Teams, on Thursday, 8th April, 2021

Present:

County Councillor Susie Charles (Chairman)

County Councillors

T Aldridge A Ali OBE T Ashton A Atkinson L Beavers J Berry P Britcliffe I Brown P Buckley T Burns MBE J Burrows A Cheetham S Clarke A Clempson L Collinge J Cooney L Cox C Crompton M Dad B Dawson MBE F De Molfetta G Dowding G Driver J Eaton BEM C Edwards K Ellard D Foxcroft

J Fillis A Gardiner J Gibson G Gooch M Green P Hayhurst N Hennessy S Holgate A Hosker D Howarth K Iddon M Iqbal MBE A Kay H Khan E Lewis S Malik J Marsh T Martin J Mein S C Morris Y Motala E Nash D O'Toole L Oades G Oliver M Parkinson OBE J Parr

M Pattison **M** Perks E Pope J Purcell J Rear P Rigby A Riggott M Salter A Schofield J Shedwick D T Smith K Snape A Snowden **D** Stansfield P Steen J Sumner M Tomlinson C Towneley S Turner A Vincent C Wakeford D Whipp G Wilkins P Williamson **B** Yates

1. Apologies and Announcements

Apologies for absence were received from County Councillors Paul Greenall and Jenny Molineux.

Announcements

Death

The Chairman reported the recent death of former County Councillor Anthony Greaves, Baron Greaves, who sadly passed away on Tuesday 23 March 2021.

The Council observed a one minute silence.

2. Disclosure of Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Interests

Name of Councillor	Agenda Item	Nature of Interest (non-pecuniary
	Number(s)	unless stated)
County Councillor	3	Member of Lancaster City
Andrew Gardiner		Council

3. Response to the Consultation on Local Government Reorganisation in Cumbria and North Yorkshire

County Councillor Alan Vincent presented the report detailing the county council's proposed response to the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government's consultation on locally led proposals for local government reorganisation in Cumbria and North Yorkshire, and moved the following motion, seconded by County Councillor Charlie Edwards:

The Government has set out 3 main tests that will form the basis of the considerations of the Secretary of State regarding Local Government reorganisation in Cumbria and North Yorkshire;

- 1. Whether it will improve Local Government services.
- 2. Whether there is a good deal of local support in the round for the proposal.
- 3. Whether the proposed new areas cover a credible geography.

Only 2 of the proposals for Cumbria meet test 3, the credible geography test, the Bay unitary and the single Cumbria unitary, the other 2 failing the population size test.

Of these 2 the Bay proposal fails 1 and 2.

Test 1 because there has been no detailed analysis of the service implications of its proposal, and there is no evidence of the legacy impact on the rest of Lancashire.

Test 2 because there has been no consultation with Lancashire (outside of Lancaster) on their views on the Bay proposal, and indeed no consultation with Lancaster residents based upon the essential information set out below.

It would therefore be inappropriate to support the Bay proposal in the absence of a full analysis of any risk to vital services it might entail; without a full assessment of the detriment it might cause to people reliant on those services; without a full assessment of the cost implications on residents and businesses alike as well as the impact on historical and cultural services, unique to Lancashire and Lancaster in particular, especially as no Local Government reorganisation is planned for the rest of Lancashire.

In conclusion therefore based upon the above Lancashire County Council resolves that in the absence of the analysis and consultation required to meet the 3 Government tests, the only proposal that meets the Government tests is the single Cumbria proposal.

And further resolves that a copy of the Officer's report also be submitted to Government. The County Council finally resolves that it has no comment to make upon the North Yorkshire proposals.

On being put to the vote, the amendment was CARRIED. It was therefore:

Resolved: - That:

The Government has set out 3 main tests that will form the basis of the considerations of the Secretary of State regarding Local Government reorganisation in Cumbria and North Yorkshire;

- 1. Whether it will improve Local Government services.
- 2. Whether there is a good deal of local support in the round for the proposal.
- 3. Whether the proposed new areas cover a credible geography.

Only 2 of the proposals for Cumbria meet test 3, the credible geography test, the Bay unitary and the single Cumbria unitary, the other 2 failing the population size test.

Of these 2 the Bay proposal fails 1 and 2.

Test 1 because there has been no detailed analysis of the service implications of its proposal, and there is no evidence of the legacy impact on the rest of Lancashire.

Test 2 because there has been no consultation with Lancashire (outside of Lancaster) on their views on the Bay proposal, and indeed no consultation with Lancaster residents based upon the essential information set out below.

It would therefore be inappropriate to support the Bay proposal in the absence of a full analysis of any risk to vital services it might entail; without a full assessment of the detriment it might cause to people reliant on those services; without a full assessment of the cost implications on residents and businesses alike as well as the impact on historical and cultural services, unique to Lancashire and Lancaster in particular, especially as no Local Government reorganisation is planned for the rest of Lancashire.

In conclusion therefore based upon the above Lancashire County Council resolves that in the absence of the analysis and consultation required to meet the 3 Government tests, the only proposal that meets the Government tests is the single Cumbria proposal.

And further resolves that a copy of the Officer's report also be submitted to Government. The County Council finally resolves that it has no comment to make upon the North Yorkshire proposals.

Angie Ridgwell Chief Executive and Director of Resources

County Hall Preston